

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

TP

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/931,21	19 09/16/	'97 FALO	L	125350-3

HM12/0124

DIANE R MEYERS ECKERT SEAMANS & MELLOTT 600 GRANT STREET 42ND FLOOR PITTSBURGH PA 15219 MARTIN, J

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

1632 27

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

01/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

FILE



Jill D. Martin

Advisory Action

08/931,219 Examiner

Group Art Unit

Falo et al.

1632

T	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]		
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Dec 10, 1999</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
A b	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec 10, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, ut is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:		
X	The proposed amendment(s):		
	☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.		
	X will not be entered because:		
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE: New claim limitation, "a primary CTL" raises new issues which would require further consideration and/or		
	search. In particular, the limitation is not clearly defined by the specification such that it is unclear as to the		
	metes and bounds of a "primary" CTL immune response.		
	☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	Nowby proposed or amount of allows		
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.		
X	The state of the s		
	for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to the amended claims which have not been entered. The prior rejections have		
	been maintained for the reasons of record.		
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claims allowed:		
	Claims objected to:		
	Claims rejected: 1-3, 5-17, 19-32, 34-47, 49-61, and 63-71		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Other Tasernine li Chambers		
	JASEMINE CHAMBERS		
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600		